

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,522	10/07/2005	Kengo Shibuya	TIP 042	2382
23408	7590 01/30/2008		EXAM	INER
	FOURTH STREET		HO, AN	ГНОМУ
UNIT 6E PHILADELPHIA, PA 19123		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2815	
•				
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/552,522	SHIBUYA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony Ho	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply	·					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for acuse the application to become AB ANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	<u>ovember 2007</u> .					
,	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		-				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	main with a condition of ELLS C. S. 440	(a) (d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 118	λ(a)-(u) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		cation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	eived.				
Attachment(s)	<u>_</u>					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Inform					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 14, 2007 has been entered.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

Application/Control Number:

10/552,522 Art Unit: 2815

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 recites "a CsBr crystal having an impurity level of from 0 to 0.22 weight percent," but in the specification (page 6, immediately after the headings "Example 1" and "Measurement device"), it states that "a CsBr crystal (Korth Kristalle manufactured by GMBH, impurity 0.22 mol%) was used as a scintillator." There is no support in the original specification for a CsBr crystal having an impurity level of zero weight percent. There is support however for a CsBr crystal having an impurity level of 0.22 weight percent. Therefore, a CsBr crystal having an impurity level of zero weight percent is being treated as new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jiang et al (US Patent 6,480,562) in view of Hamamatsu Microchannel Plate-Photo Multiplier Tube R3809U-50 Series product literature.

Jiang et al discloses a radiation detection device comprising an undoped CsBr crystal, that has a CsCl type crystal structure and the Cs:Br atom ratio is about 1:1, as a scintillator (column 3 – column 4).

Application/Control Number:

10/552,522 Art Unit: 2815

Jiang et al does not teach a photomultiplier tube built into a MCP.

However, Hamamatsu Microchannel Plate-Photo Multiplier Tube R3809U-50 Series product literature teaches the photoelectron multiplier tube is a MCP built-in photoelectron multiplier tube (entire document).

The advantage is to obtain high speed gating with low noise (entire document).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the radiation detection device comprising a CsBr crystal, that has a CsCl type crystal structure and the Cs:Br atom ratio is about 1:1, as a scintillator as taught by Jiang et al with the photoelectron multiplier tube is a MCP built-in photoelectron multiplier tube as taught by Hamamatsu Microchannel Plate-Photo Multiplier Tube R5916U-50 Series product literature in order to obtain high speed gating with low noise.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Ho whose telephone number is 571-270-1432. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 8:30AM-7:00PM EST.

10/552,522

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on 571-272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AH January 14, 2008

> JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER